

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/957,451	09/21/2001	Marc O. Schurr	06530.0276-00000	2507		
75	10/01/2003					
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street N.W.			EXAMINER			
			ROBERTS, PAUL A			
Washington, DC 20005-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3731	1		
		DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	-	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/957,451	J		SCHURR ET AL.	04					
		Examiner			Art Unit	- ''					
		Paul A Rob	erts		3731	•					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status											
1)[\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	September 2	2002 .								
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is r		ıal.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is										
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims											
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-119 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.										
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
-	Claim(s) <u>1-119</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	ղuirem	ient.							
	on Papers	_									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a) All b) Some * c) None of:											
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.											
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage											
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.											
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 											
Attachment(s)											
2) Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	· · ·	5) 🔲		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-						

Application/Control Number: 09/957,451

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, 47-64, 70-85, and 98-101 drawn to an <u>apparatus</u> for <u>securing</u> a tissue fold, classified in class 606/139.
- II. Claims 8-14 drawn to a method of securing a tissue fold, classified in class 606/144.
- III. Claims 15-23, drawn to an end effector, classified in class 606/206.
- IV. Claims 24-34, 102-110, drawn to an <u>apparatus</u> for <u>making</u> a tissue fold, classified in class 606, subclass 213.
- V. Claims 35-46, 65-69, 86-97, 111-119, drawn to a method of making a tissue fold, classified in class 606, subclass 215.
- VI. Claims 86-97, drawn to a <u>method</u> of <u>securing</u> tissue, classified in class 606/148.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case group 1 can be used to hold two pieces of wood together.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Application/Control Number: 09/957,451

Art Unit: 3731

separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as being used in an endoscopy procedure. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I can be used to seal blood vessel or clamp lumens. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are usable together. The method of making a tissue fold is not used an apparatus to secure a tissue fold or a tissue.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as useful together and the method of securing a tissue fold is not useful on an end effector.

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as it's useful in securing tissue. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II, V, and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Application/Control Number: 09/957,451

Art Unit: 3731

separately usable. In the instant case, group V or VI could be used to secure a lumen in an anastomosis procedure. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV and V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The end effector is not disclosed as useful together and has a different function as compared to: the apparatus for making a tissue fold, the method of securing a tissue, or the method for making a tissue fold.

Inventions IV and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case a tissue can be fold can be made with an endoluminal forceps.

Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as it is useful for making a tissue fold without securing the tissue. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as making a tissue fold without securing the tissue fold. See MPEP § 806.05(d). A telephone call was made to Roland MacAndrews on Sept 29th 2003, September 20th 2003, and September 5th 2003 to request

Art Unit: 3731

an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is encouraged to contact the examiner regarding this restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A Roberts whose telephone number is (703) 305-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Roberts
Paul Roberts@uspto.gov
29/09/03

MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700